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Why Do I Need A Repository?

- Digital Objects Are More Numerous/Volatile/Mutable Than Predecessors
- Digital Objects Depend On and Are Bound To Technical Environment/Infrastructure
- Digital Libraries Share Similar Levels of User Service Needs with “Traditional” Libraries
- No More “404” Errors
What *IS* A Repository, Anyway?

- A Set of Services
  - Naming Services
  - Object Management
  - Object Discover/Recovery
  - Object Security
  - Object Migration
  - Reporting
- A Physical/Virtual Datastore
Working Assumptions

- Repository services will manage the user’s bits
- Repository services will return those bits to the owner or applications trusted by the owner (aka “trusted applications” / disseminators)
- No assumptions about what the digital object is
- Repository stands in support of Harvard’s LDI Project
A Word or Two About LDI

- Create Infrastructure for the Library’s Electronic Components
- Create “advice” services for the creators and disseminators of digital resources
- Create applications (catalogs and other types of applications) to manage digital objects
- Fund an internal grant program in support of the creation and delivery of digital objects
Object Management

- Who Owns the Object
- What Does the Object Consist Of
- How Does the Object Relate to Other Objects
- How Is the Object Created/Updated
- What Applications Have Access to the Object
Relational Model for Object Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BASIC META DATA</th>
<th>OBJECT</th>
<th>INVENTORY</th>
<th>OTHER TYPES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>IMAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URN</td>
<td>BLOB</td>
<td>MD_REC</td>
<td>MOAII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL_NAME</td>
<td></td>
<td>TYPE</td>
<td>DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BYTES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIME_TYPE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATUS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TRUSTED APPLICATIONS
APPLICATION
CONTEXT (METHOD)
Objects & Applications Are Opaque/Services Are Not

- Repository Does Not “Model” Complex Objects, Applications Do
- Repository Services Assume a Simple Fetch Model
- Complex Interactions with Other Services (Naming, Authentication, Accounting)
- Applications Answer the “What is an Object?” Question
Current “Trusted Applications”

- Naming and Repository Services
- Digital Table of Contents Project
- Ereserves
- VIA
- Other LDI Funded Projects
Object Security

- Who Owns the Object
- Who Is Entitled to the Object
  - Which Users
  - Which Applications
  - Which Components
- Is the Object “Authentic”? 
- Is MY Object Being Misused by Others?
Reporting

- Reports on:
  - object use
  - object size/total storage
  - success/failure of input/update and batch operations
  - billing
User Services/Management

- User Account Creation
- FTP sites for batch data/metadata transfer
- Varying Batch Input Models (XML, CSV)
- Batch Transaction Processing/Tracking
- Other Services Built Out of Current “Trusted Applications”
Current Implementation Environment

- Oracle 8.1.5 as DBMS
- Modified Apache server for Naming services
- Load utilities
  - Web Forms
  - Batch (via SQLLoader, PL/SQL) w/ pre-processing routines in Java/perl
- Environment pushes Oracle services/envelope
Futures

- Migration
- Object Discovery/Recovery
- Relational to OO Data Model
Object Migration

- TIFF Today/PNG Tomorrow
- “Archival Quality” Digital Object vs. “Latest/Greatest” Delivery Surrogate
- Object “Refreshment”
- Object “Aging” — When Do I Need to Redigitize … and Why
Object Discover/Recovery

- Relationship Between Object Components and “Whole Digital Object”
- Relationships That Encourage Object Reuse in Novel Ways
- Relationship Between Object Metadata / Hollis Catalog Record
- Object “Reveals” Itself
Relational to OO Data Model

- Model services with data object
  - beyond simple “fetches”
  - “intelligent” interfaces to object
- Model object with object’s metadata
- Small set of clearly defined objects, based on community standards
- Relationship of catalogs to applications