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The project


- All 13 CIC institutions are participating
  - Currently 19 data providers with 2 more repositories in test
  - Aggregation contains 520,000 resource descriptions

- Objectives
  - Support CIC mission of regional collaboration
  - Investigate issues surrounding metadata shareability
  - Test OAI – PMH as technical infrastructure for metadata sharing
  - Provide Web interface(s) to CIC aggregated material
Context of & motivations for collaboration

- Follow-up to Mellon-funded experiments with OAI
  - Had shown need for collaborations to build competencies & capacity and serve as catalyst to encourage institutions to implement OAI (M. Halbert et al., ECDL 2003)
  - 2 CIC institutions (Illinois & Michigan) had been involved
  - Homogeneity of CIC institutional missions provided opportunity to explore high level of interoperability

- Participants shared need to enhance discoverability and reusability of library-published digital & digitized content
- Attractiveness of shared funding model
Key Accomplishments

- Technical Validation of CIC OAI-PMH implementations
- Advances in metadata sharing
  - created incentive for data providers to modify the way they share metadata by showing the direct impact possible
  - increased understanding of DP & SP roles; demonstrated (again) dependence of each on the other
  - provided input to broader community initiatives – e.g., DLF-NSDL best practices for OAI and shareable metadata
  - demonstrated critical importance of retaining context and providing reliable, direct linkages to resources
Evolution in CIC metadata sharing

- Aggregation item count has grown by 50% so far during project
- Broader adoption of optional protocol features
  - More metadata formats
    We reprocess UDC, QDC and MODS records for items;
    MARC and DC-Collection records for collections
  - Increased use of OAI sets & <setDescription> element
    Inclusion of collection-level descriptions within <setDescription>
    Now harvesting set descriptions and transforming them as collection level descriptions
- More consistent & robust links to resources being described
  - Enhanced user experience
  - Value-added services (e.g., automated capture of thumbnails)
CIC collaboration as a test bed for DPs

- Data providers are interested in impact and importance of what they do or don’t do
  - Do I need to use resumption tokens?
  - How might including collection-level descriptions enhance the discoverability of my content?
  - What are the benefits of exposing metadata in multiple formats?
  - Which URL(s) for the resource should be shared in the metadata records?
How much normalization can SP do?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>% of records in the repository</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource URL</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal Coverage</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Coverage</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results may or may not be generalizable for other SPs.
Examining resource URLs embedded in metadata
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### Generating or including thumbnails

#### CIC-OAI Metadata Search Portal

**Sort by:** title

---

**Record 1 of 83**

**Title:** Девушка полупаст и лысого щурка. Une petite fille a chatard des poils. Die kleine Mokusho kam Haar.

**Author/Creator:** (unknown)

**Description:** (unknown)

**Image:** Image was captured using Epson scanner and SilverFast import utility in Adobe Photoshop. Scanned at 4224x24 Bit Colour at 300 dpi. No archival images were created, but access images were created at JPEGs with quality of 9.

**URL:** [http://images.library.uiuc.edu:8081/RussianPublicImages/97762266452000_fonar60-9.jpg](http://images.library.uiuc.edu:8081/RussianPublicImages/97762266452000_fonar60-9.jpg)

**Collection:** Early 18th Century Russian Readership & Culture

---

**Record 2 of 83**

**Title:** Дворник I | Tribunale. Le portier et le rameur. Der Hausdiener und der Seelebenführer.

**Author/Creator:** (unknown)

**Description:** (unknown)
Using CLD to enhance discoverability
What we’ve not been able to do (yet)

- Adequate involvement of end-users - will require
  - Better integration with traditional & commercial resources
  - Development of focused portals --> manual classification or automatic clustering across institutional boundaries
  - Normalization / cross-walking across vocabularies; i.e., repurposing metadata for new use

- Bring collaborators to the same level of sophistication
  - Wide range of technical solutions / dependence on vendors
  - Wide range of descriptive practice & richness (considerable static content not being further developed)
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