

# *Got Data, now what?*

Trish Rose  
Univ of CA, San Diego  
DLF Fall 2005  
Charlottesville, VA

# UCAI

---

- Goal: *to develop a shared cataloging prototype for the visual resource community in order to promote the copy cataloging of image metadata*

It was a Multi-phase project:

- Phase 1 (April 2002 - December 2003)
- Phase 2 (January 2004 - October 2005)

# UCAI processes

---

- *Mapping* – to a single element set (VRA Core 3.0 extended)
- *Ingesting* – based on maps with some normalization
- *Clustering* – to bring records for the same work together
- *Merging* – for ease of viewing and navigating

# Mapping and Ingest

---

- Data Submission
- Minimal record requirements
- Normalization

# Mapping and Ingest

## Data Submission Guidelines

---

### Data Format

- XML or tab/comma delimited files
- character encoding (eg. Unicode or Latin 1)
- external files (e.g. DTDs or Schemas)

### Documentation

- data dictionary
- cataloging guidelines
- identifiers

### Contacts

# Mapping and Ingest

## Minimal record requirements

---

- Title
- Agent or Cultural Group
- Date
- Site or Repository
- Work Type

# Mapping and Ingest

## Minimal record requirements

---

- Title
- Agent or Cultural Group
- Date
- Site or Repository
- Work Type

# Mapping and Ingest

## Minimal record requirements

---

- Title
- Agent or Cultural Group
- Date
- Site or Repository
- Work Type

*Only 45% had all 5 populated*

# Mapping and Ingest

## Minimal record requirements

---

- Title
- Agent or Cultural Group
- Date
- Site or Repository
- Work Type

*Only 69% had 3 populated*

# Mapping and Ingest

## Minimal record requirements

---

### RLG's levels

- base-line (should be included)
- value-added (provides enhanced functionality)
- bonus (contributes to optimal functionality)

*from Descriptive Metadata Guidelines for RLG Cultural Materials*

# Mapping and Ingest Normalization

---

## Establish plan

- Normalization types
- Normalization priorities
- Identify fields to be normalized
- Assign normalization responsibilities (provider or aggregator)

# Mapping and Ingest Normalization

---

## Types

- Parsing (no 1:1 relationship)
- Preferred term (reducing variants)
- Contextual data (e.g. brackets, codes, initials)
- Misspellings/factual errors (e.g. Picassi)
- Reformatting (e.g. late 18<sup>th</sup> century, 1775-1800)

# Mapping and Ingest Normalization

---

## Factors to consider

when choosing which normalization to perform

*Will it require automated or manual techniques?*

when choosing fields to normalize

*Which fields will benefit users?*

when assigning normalization responsibilities

*Does the provider or aggregator benefit?*